



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/642,458	08/18/2000	Alexander G. MacInnis	37259/SAH/B600	7111
23363	7590	06/28/2005	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			BRIER, JEFFERY A	
PO BOX 7068				
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	
DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/642,458

Applicant(s)

MACINNIS ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffery A. Brier

Art Unit

2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-39,41 and 49-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-39,41 and 49-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/18/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/21/2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed on 4/21/2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's amendments to claims 1-3, 5-39, 41-42, 46, and 48-51 overcome the rejection based upon the Sporer et al. reference. Additionally searching has discovered a patent to So, U.S. Patent No. 5,909,559, which clearly teaches integrating a north bridge with a MPEG video decoder onto a single integrated circuit chip.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9-11, 14-17, 20-22, 24, 26, 27, 30-32, 35, 36, 39, 41, and 50-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by So, U.S. Patent No. 5,909,559.

So teaches integrating a north bridge with a MPEG coder decoder (compression decompression) at column 133 lines 47-67. This section of the patent states:

Chip 510 has its memory size and pinout tailored for 3D graphics and geometry slope/setup, MPEG compression/ decompression algorithms, and/or 3D audio. Advantageously, CPU 315 is relieved of burden of much of these calculations, and freed from much time-consuming MMX context switching latency. Another embodiment of chip 510 integrates blocks 520 and 525 together with advantageously low real estate and reduced pinout, and PCI/PCI block 530 is a separate chip.

In FIG. 6, another embodiment 600 of an improved computer system is comparable to FIG. 5 except that a north bridge-type block 610 has a first VSP core enhancing the north bridge PCI/MCU circuitry and that first VSP runs 3D geometry and multimedia extensions acceleration. A second VSP block 620 virtualizes 3D audio, graphics, slope/setup and MPEG audio/video compression/decompression. Blocks 610 and 620 are integrated together into a single integrated circuit chip, and both blocks 610 and 620 are coupled to PCI bus 330 as master/slave agents. An accelerator bus 615 couples blocks 610 and 620. PCI/PCI bridge 530 is on or off-chip in different embodiments.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 1:

So teaches a system on a single integrated circuit chip (*column 133 lines 29-67*) comprising:

an MPEG video decoder for decoding MPEG video data to generate video for displaying (*Column 133 lines 29-67, especially not line 62 which specifically discusses MPEP video decompression which is MPEG decoding.*); and

a system bridge controller having a north bridge function disposed between a CPU (*CPU 315*) and a plurality peripheral devices (*Devices listed in block 550 (1394, TV, LAN, WAN, ATM), devices connected to south bridge 410, and any devices connected to PCI buses 330 and 540.*) for coupling the CPU to the plurality of peripheral devices (*Column 133 lines 29-67, especially note lines 57-58 which discuss north bridge type block 610 which performs north bridge function.*),

wherein the MPEG video decoder and the system bridge controller are implemented on the single integrated circuit chip (*Column 133 lines 29-67, note especially lines 33-38 and 63-65.*), and

wherein the CPU and the plurality of peripheral devices are situated externally to the single integrated circuit chip (*Figures 5 and 6 and column 133 lines 29-67 clearly teach the CPU and the peripheral devices are external to the integrated circuit 510 shown in figure 5 and integrated circuit chip containing 610 and 630. column 133 lines 41-45 clearly discuses 550 to be separate chips from the chip containing the north bridge and MPEG video decoder.*).

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 5:

Column 133 lines 29-67 discusses the north bridge connecting the CU to the PCI peripheral devices.

Claim 6:

Inherently PCI bus master allows one PCI device to communicate to another PCI device without using CPU as an intermediate device.

Claim 9:

The 550 and 560 are an I/O devices.

Claim 10:

The north bridge 520, 610 allows DMA between CPU 315 and memory 325.

Claim 11:

The north bridge 520 is connected to memory 525 and to any memory connected to the PCI bus.

Claim 14:

The single integrated circuit 510, 610 has a north bridge block 520 that connects the CPU to the MPEG video decoder 525, 620.

Claim 15:

Column 126 line 55 discusses MIPS processor.

Claim 16:

Column 100 lines 34-35 discusses burst in PCI.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 17:

The north bridge inherently has buffers to buffer speed contention between differing devices.

Claim 20:

HDTV means high definition TV which is inferred by referenced to television at column 129 line 31.

Claim 21:

SDTV means standard definition TV which is NTSC TV, PAL TV, and SECAM TV which is discussed at column 129 line 57.

Claim 50:

This claim depends upon claim 9. So discusses attaching an ISA bus along with the PCI bus. The ISA bus (8 bits or 16 bits) has less bits than the PCI bus (32 bits or 64 bits), thus, the north bridge converts CPU data of 32 or 64 bits to ISA bus data of 8 or 16 bits.

Claim 53:

Column 157 line 55 to column 158 line 13 discusses integrating all of the components except for CPU and memory onto a single integrated circuit. This application is directed to multimedia and web applications thus it teaches compositing graphics and MPEG and since it has a single integrated circuit teaching the patent teaches to one of ordinary skill in the art this claim.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 54:

This application implements DirectX function in VSP hardware, VSPs 525 and 620 are external to the CPU 315, and DirectX has a DirectX Blend function. Column 34 line 61 to column 36 line 62 discusses implementing DirectX in hardware to relieve the CPU of graphics processing such as the DirectX Blend function.

Claim 22:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 1 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 1 above.

Claim 24:

Both figures 5 and 6 illustrate the north bridge of the single integrated circuit chip communicating between the CPU and the rest of the chip's internal components such as 525, 620.

Claim 26:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 5 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 5 above.

Claim 27:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 6 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 6 above.

Claim 30:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 9 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 9 above.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 31:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 10 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 10 above.

Claim 32:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 11 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 11 above.

Claim 35:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 16 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 16 above.

Claim 36:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 17 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 17 above.

Claim 39:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 20 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 20 above.

Claim 51:

This claims a method claim version of system claim 50 and it is rejected for the reasons given for claim 50 above.

Claim 41:

This system claim adds to system claim 1 "an MPEG Transport processor for receiving a plurality of MPEG transport streams, at least one of the MPEG Transport streams

Art Unit: 2672

including MPEG video data". So discusses two MPEG streams, audio and video, see column 133 line 62. Thus, a transport processor is in the MPEG coder/decoder to allow both streams to be processed.

Claim 52:

This claim depends upon system claim 1. See the discussion given for claim 41 regarding MPEG Transport processor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over So, U.S. Patent No. 5,909,559. Column 126 line 55 discusses MIPS processor but does not specifically mention SH3 processor and SH 4 processor. These two processors are SuperH RISC microprocessors from Hitachi. The MIPS microprocessor is another RISC microprocessor but from Silicon Graphics. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one well known RISC microprocessor for another RISC microprocessor since they have similar capabilities, thus, the selection of which RISC microprocessor can be based upon economics at any one point in time.

Art Unit: 2672

8. Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 18, 19, 23, 25, 28, 29, 33, 34, 37, 38, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over So, U.S. Patent No. 5,909,559, in view of Yee et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,466,581.

These claims claim big endian and little endian. Before analyzing these claims it is important to define these terms which may be found in An Essay on Endian Order.

Copyright (C) Dr. William T. Verts, April 19, 1996.

Little endian definition:

"Little Endian" means that the low-order byte of the number is stored in memory at the lowest address, and the high-order byte at the highest address. (The little end comes first.)

Intel processors (those used in PC's) use "Little Endian" byte order.

Big endian definition:

"Big Endian" means that the high-order byte of the number is stored in memory at the lowest address, and the low-order byte at the highest address. (The big end comes first.)

Motorola processors (those used in Mac's) use "Big Endian" byte order.

The essay may be found at <http://www.cs.umass.edu/~verts/cs32/endian.html>

Another useful definition teaching a system that uses either type is from webopedia:

Many mainframe computers, particularly IBM mainframes, use a big-endian architecture. Most modern computers, including PCs, use the little-endian system. The PowerPC system is *bi-endian* because it can understand both systems

This definition may be found at http://www.webopedia.com/TERM/b/big_endian.html

Yee at column 4 lines 30-48, teach converting from little endian to big endian and from big endian to little endian at a PCI controller in order to allow a PowerPC to have access to peripheral devices such as a memory using different endian.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants invention to incorporate little endian to big endian and from big endian to little endian conversion in the chip carrying the north gate function because this will allow the CPU to interface with diverse peripheral devices that do not use the same endian as the CPU.

Prior Art

9. The two articles supplied to applicant in the last Advisory Action are now being made of record on an 892. These articles discuss integrating graphics and northbridge onto the same chip.

Desktop PC-IC Content and Integration Trends By Scott Hudson -- *Electronic News*, 3/1/1999 which may be found at <http://www.reed-electronics.com/electronicnews/article/CA69496.html>. This article states in the second paragraph "For example, graphics can be integrated into the northbridge of the core logic chip set, audio can be combined with the modem, and even L2 cache can be added to the MPU."

Basic Notebooks Infrastructure In Place - Trident introduces Monterey chip; Neomagic re-engineering products *Electronic News*, Sept 28, 1998 by Peter Brown which may be found at http://www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0EKF/is_n2238_v44/ai_21172858. This article states in the third paragraph "The company last week, in conjunction with AMD's announcement of a 300MHz version of the K6 for mobile PCs, introduced what it calls

Art Unit: 2672

the Monterey, an integrated graphics chip that combines 3-D core technology with the Northbridge core logic.”

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Ball et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,085,273, at column teach converting from little endian to big endian and from big endian to little endian in a bus interface.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A Brier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7656. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:00 to 3:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (571) 272-7664. The fax phone Number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 2672

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Jeffery A Brier
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2672